

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

-	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 12/01/97	FIRST NAMED INVI	ROTM	w	RFX-349
Γ-	LEO R REYNOLDS	MM11/1209	乛	PHAN	
	HAMILTON BROOK SMITH & TWO MILITIA DRIVE LEXINGTON MA 02173	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/980,885

Office Action Summary Examiner

Group Art Unit

2872 JAMES PHAN

Rowland et al

, prosecution as to the merits is closed O.G. 213. ee month(s), or thirty days, whicheve in the period for response will cause the be obtained under the provisions of is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
O.G. 213. eemonth(s), or thirty days, whicheve in the period for response will cause the be obtained under the provisions ofis/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
O.G. 213. eemonth(s), or thirty days, whicheve in the period for response will cause the be obtained under the provisions ofis/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
in the period for response will cause the be obtained under the provisions of is/are pending in the application is/are withdrawn from consideration is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to.
is/are withdrawn from consideration is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
is/are withdrawn from consideration is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
is/are objected to.
proved disapproved. \$ 119(a)-(d). cuments have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
-

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 08/980,885 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-8, drawn to a retroreflective structure, classified in class 359, subclass
 529.
 - II. Claims 9-14, drawn to a method of forming retroreflective sheeting, classified in class 264, subclass 1.9.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as cutting or embossing technique.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the fields of search are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

آج

Application/Control Number: 08/980,885 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Conway on Dec. 2, 1998 a provisional election

was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-8. Affirmation of this

election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 9-14 are withdrawn

from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected

invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or

on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-3, 5 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van

Arnam. See Fig. 3.

Application/Control Number: 08/980,885 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

Van Arnam, column 7, lines 1-4, discloses cube corner trigonal pyramids having size of about 0.003 to about 0.015 inch along the side of the base of the pyramids. Thus, the center-to-center spacing between the apices of adjacent cube corner prisms would be in the range of about $0.002 = 0.003 \times 2/3$ to $0.01 = 0.015 \times 2/3$ inch. The spacing of 0.002 or above and not greater than 0.003 inch is within the claimed range.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Arnam in view of admitted prior art, U.S. 5,558,740, disclosed on page 12, first paragraph, of the present application.

Van Arnam discloses all claimed features except for arrays of prisms seamed together. The admitted prior art, U.S. 5,558,740, disclosed on page 12, first paragraph, discloses the claimed feature. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of the admitted prior art in Van Arnam for increasing the size of the retroreflective sheeting.

Application/Control Number: 08/980,885

Art Unit: 2872

10. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Arnam in view

Page 5

of Walter.

Van Arnam discloses all claimed features except for tips of the apices of adjacent cube

corner prisms tilted with respect to one another. However, this feature is known in the art for

producing a wide retroreflective angularity. For an instant, Walter discloses a retroreflective

structure having cube corner elements tilted at an angle of between about three and ten degrees

for producing a wide retroreflective angularity. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in

the art at the time the invention was make to apply the teaching of Walter in Van Arnam so as to

include cube corner prisms tilted at an angle for improving the retroreflective angularity of the

retroreflective structure.

Conclusion

11. Stamm discloses a retroreflective structure which is incorporated in the applied reference

to VanArnam.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to James Phan whose telephone number is (703) 308-4810. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F from 9:30 to 6:00. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)

308-7722.

Art Unit: 2872

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Phan, J.

Dec. 5, 1998

// James Phan Primary Examiner